EXHIBIT 14

```
93CMMADP1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 2
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
 4
                          09 CR 213 (DC)
          ٧.
 4
 5
    BERNARD L. MADOFF,
 5
 6
            Defendant.
 6
 7
 7
 8
                         New York, N.Y.
 8
                         March 12, 2009
 9
                         10:00 a.m.
 9
 10
 10
     Before:
 11
 11
                 HON. DENNY CHIN,
 12
 12
                          District Judge
 13
 13
 14
                  APPEARANCES
 14
 15
    LEV L. DASSIN
 15
       United States Attorney for the
       Southern District of New York
 16
 16
    MARC O. LITT
     LISA BARONI
 17
       Assistant United States Attorneys
 17
 18
 18 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
    Attorneys for Defendant
 19
 19 BY: IRA LEE SORKIN
       DANIEL J. HORWITZ
 20
 20
       NICOLE P. DE BELLO
       MAURO M. WOLFE
 21
 21
 22 ALSO PRESENT: STEVEN GARFINKEL, FBI
 22
            KEITH KELLY, FBI
 23
             JULIA SCHULTE HANISH, USDOJ, FBI
 23
             THEODORE V. CACIOPPI, FBI
 24
 24
 25
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

93CMMADP1

2

3

12

13

14

15

18

1 (Case called)

> MR. LITT: Marc Litt for the United States. With me at counsel table are Lisa Baroni, an Assistant U.S. Attorney,

and four FBI agents: Steven Garfinkel, Keith Kelly, Julia

5 Hanish, and Ted Cacioppi. Good morning, your Honor.

6 MR. SORKIN: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of 7 the defendant Bernard L. Madoff, the law firm of Dickstein Shapiro LLP. Mr. Madoff is sitting to my left. To my right is 8 Daniel Horwitz of my firm. To Mr. Madoff's left is Mauro Wolfe 10 from my firm, and to Mr. Wolfe's left is Nicole De Bello from

11 my firm. Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Mr. Sorkin, your client is still prepared to plead guilty today as we discussed on Tuesday?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, if you would stand, please,

17 and the deputy clerk will administer the oath.

(Defendant sworn)

19 MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, before you begin the 20 allocution, we have provided the government and the court 21 reporter with a copy of the allocution that Mr. Madoff will 22 read, and we have a copy if the Court wishes to see it as well.

23 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 24 MR. SORKIN: May I hand it up? 25

THE COURT: Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

17

18 19

20

21

22

This statement is intended to cover all 11 counts? MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. After your Honor goes through, he will give a statement which we believe will cover all the elements. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, do you understand that you are now under oath and that if you answer my questions falsely, your untrue answers may later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or making false statements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Try to keep your voice up so that I can hear you, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

MR. SORKIN: Can we get some water, your Honor?

14 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LITT: I would note that the defendant has not yet been arraigned on the information.

THE COURT: All right. That's true. Technically, we did the first part of it. We never did the final part. Let me just ask the final question.

Mr. Madoff, the other day you waived indictment and you consented to being charged by an information of the government, correct?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24 THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the 25 information, guilty or not guilty?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1 1 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 2 THE COURT: Before I accept the plea I will conduct 3 the allocution. Would you state your full name for the record, please. 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Bernard L. Madoff. 6 THE COURT: On Tuesday you told me your age and 7 educational background. We talked a little bit about your medical condition. Has your medical condition changed since 8 9 Tuesday? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, it has not. 11 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any 12 drugs, medicine, or pills, or have you drunk any alcohol? 13 THE DEFENDANT: No. 14 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. THE COURT: And are you feeling all right today under 16 17 the circumstances? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Do either counsel have any doubt as to Mr. 19 20 Madoff's competence to plead at this time? 21 MR. LITT: The government does not. 22 MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Madoff, as I understand it, you

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

wish to plead guilty today to all 11 counts of the information,

24 25

is that correct?

93CMMADP1

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is correct.

THE COURT: Have you had a full opportunity to discuss your case with Mr. Sorkin and to discuss the consequences of pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: You told me on Tuesday that you were satisfied with Mr. Sorkin and his representation of you. Are you still satisfied?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Madoff's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.

Now, Mr. Madoff, before I accept any plea from you I am going to ask you some additional questions that are intended to satisfy me that you wish to plead guilty because you are guilty and that you fully understand the consequences of your plea. If you do not understand any of my questions, please ask me or Mr. Sorkin to explain.

I am going to describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you plead guilty, so please listen carefully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you which are contained in the information. If SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove your guilt by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent if you were to go to trial.

If there were a trial, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney. And if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided for you free of cost.

If there were a trial, you would have a right to see and hear all the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would have a right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and to offer evidence on your own behalf if you so desired, and you would have the right to have subpoenas issued or other process used to compel witnesses to testify in your defense.

If there were a trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but no one could force you to testify if you did not want to. Furthermore, no inference or suggestion of guilt could be drawn if you chose not to testify at trial.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand each and every one of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty today you are giving up each and every one of these rights, you are waiving these rights, and you will have no trial?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1 1 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right 3 even now to refuse to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 4 5 THE COURT: You do not have to enter a plea of guilty 6 if you do not want to, for any reason. Do you understand that? 7 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Now, did you receive a copy of the 10 information? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. THE COURT: And as we discussed on Tuesday and as we 12 13 discussed a moment ago, do you understand that you have waived your right to be charged by an indictment, which is issued by a 14 grand jury, and you have consented to being charged by the 15 information which is issued by the prosecutor? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 18 THE COURT: And did you waive that right voluntarily 19 and knowingly? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Now, I am going to review the counts with 22 you. As we said, the information contains 11 counts. Count One charges securities fraud. 23 24 Count Two charges investment adviser fraud. 25 Count Three charges mail fraud. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93	\cap	ΛN	ΛΛ	ח	P1
שטי	U۱	/IIV	ળ∼	ப	ГΙ

Count Four charges wire fraud.
 Count Five charges international money laundering to

promote fraud in the sale of securities.

Count Six charges international money laundering to conceal the proceeds of fraud in the sale of securities.

6 Count Seven charges money laundering.

Count Eight charges making false statements.

8 Count Nine charges perjury.

Count Ten charges making a false filing with the

10 Securities and Exchange Commission.

11 And Count Eleven charges theft from an employee 12 benefit plan.

Do you understand that those are the charges against

14 you?

3

4

5

7

9

13

18

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

16 THE COURT: I'll ask the government to advise the

17 defendant of the elements of the crimes.

MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor. With respect to Count

19 One, securities fraud --

20 THE COURT: Hold on one second.

21 Mr. Madoff, you can be seated. Pour yourself some

22 water.

23 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

MR. LITT: With respect to Count One, securities

fraud, in order to prove the crime of securities fraud, the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

 government must establish each of the following three elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, the defendant did any one or more of the following: (1) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or (2) made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which made what was said under the circumstances misleading; or (3) engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.

Second, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud:

And, third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

With respect to investment adviser fraud, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt all four of the following elements: First, that the defendant was an investment adviser; second, that the defendant either (A) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud clients and prospective clients; (B) engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon those clients and prospective clients; or (C) engaged in an act, practice, and course of business that was fraudulent, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

1 deceptive, and manipulative.

Third, that the defendant devised or participated in such alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business, knowingly, willfully, and with intent to defraud.

And, fourth, that the defendant employed such alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business by use of the mails or other instrumentality of interstate commerce.

In order to prove the crime of mail fraud, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt the following four elements:

First, that at or about the time alleged in the indictment there was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain property or money by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud;

And, fourth, that the United States Mails were used in furtherance of the scheme as specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of wire fraud the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

government must establish the following four essential elements:

First, that at or about the time alleged in the information there was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain property or money by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises:

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts:

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud

And, fourth, that interstate or foreign wire facilities were used in furtherance of the scheme to defraud as specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of unlawful transportation
of funds or monetary instruments with the intent to promote the
carrying on of specified unlawful activity, in violation of
Section 1956(a)(2)(A), the government must establish beyond a
reasonable doubt each of the following elements:

First, that the defendant transported a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside the United States;

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

And, second, that the defendant did so with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity.

In order to prove the crime of unlawful transportation of funds or monetary instruments to conceal and disguise the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that the defendant transported a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside the United States:

And, second, that the defendant did so with the knowledge that the monetary instrument or funds involved represent the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity;

And, third, that the defendant did so with knowledge that the transportation was designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, or control of the proceeds of securities fraud, mail fraud, wire fraud, and theft from an employee benefit plan.

In order to prove the crime of engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity in violation of Section 1957, the government must establish the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant engaged or attempted to engage in a monetary transaction in or affecting interstate SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

1 commerce:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Second, that the monetary transaction involved criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000;

Third, that the property was derived from specified unlawful activity; in this case, from securities fraud, mail fraud, wire fraud, or theft from a pension benefit plan;

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly; that is, with knowledge that the transaction involved proceeds of a criminal offense;

And, fifth, that the transaction took place in the United States or that the defendant is a United States person.

In order to prove the crime of making false statements to the SEC, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001, the government must establish the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant made a statement or representation:

Second, that the statement or representation was material;

Third, that the statement or representation was false, fictitious or fraudulent;

Fourth, that the false, fictitious or fraudulent statement was made knowingly or willfully:

And, fifth, that the statement or representation was made in a matter within the jurisdiction of the government of the United States.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

To prove the crime of perjury the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that the defendant took an oath to testify truly before the Securities and Exchange Commission, a body authorized by law to administer oaths;

Second, that the defendant made false statements as to matters about which the defendant testified under oath as set forth in the information:

Third, that the matters as to which it is charged that the defendant made false statements were material to the issues under inquiry by the Securities and Exchange Commission;

12 And, fourth, that such false statements were willfully 13 made.

To prove the offense of making a false filing with the SEC the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that the defendant was required to file an application, report, or document with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations thereunder:

Second, that the application, report, or document filed with the SEC contained false or misleading statements;

Third, that the false or misleading statements were material;

And, fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

1 willfully.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

To prove the offense of theft from an employee pension benefit plan the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that the defendant abstracted or converted to his own use or the use of others the monies, funds, securities, premiums, credits, property, or other assets of an employee welfare benefit plan;

Second, that the funds abstracted or converted from -- excuse me, that the fund abstracted or converted from was an employee welfare benefit plan within the meaning of the statute;

And, third, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, would you rise again, please.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand that if you were to go to trial the government would have to prove all of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now I am going to review with you the maximum possible penalties for the crimes in question.

Count One charging securities fraud carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

gross loss, a mandatory special assessment of \$100, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

In fact, each count carries a mandatory special assessment of \$100, so I am not going to repeat that for each of the 11 counts.

Count Two charges investment adviser fraud. It carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$10,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

Count Three, the mail fraud count, charges a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

In fact, all 11 counts carry the same maximum term of supervised release of three years, so I won't repeat that either.

I'm up to Count Four, the wire fraud count. That carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss.

Count Five, the international money laundering count, the first of those counts, carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

\$500,000, twice the value of the funds involved, or twice the gross gain to any person or twice the pecuniary loss to any person other than yourself.

Count Six, the second international money laundering count, carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$500,000, or twice the value of the funds involved or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Seven, a money laundering count, charges a maximum sentence of ten years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Eight, which charges making false statements, carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Nine charges perjury. It carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Ten charges making a false filing with the SEC. It carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Finally, Count Eleven, which charges theft from an SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

5

6

7

8

9 10

14

17

19

employee benefit plan, carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of 3 \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Do you understand that those are the possible maximum sentences?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, taking all the counts together, do you understand that the total maximum sentence of incarceration that you face is 150 years' imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

11 THE COURT: In addition, do you understand that as part of your sentence I can order restitution to any person or 12 13 entity injured as a result of your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15 MR. LITT: Your Honor, I would just note that restitution is mandatory, not discretionary. 16

THE COURT: I will order restitution if it's

18 mandatory.

You understand that?

20 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

21 THE COURT: I mentioned supervised release. By that I 22 mean that you would be subject to monitoring when you were released from prison under terms and conditions that could lead

23

to reimprisonment without a jury trial if you were to violate 24

them. And if you were to violate the terms of your supervised SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

release you could be sent back to prison for the entire term ofyour supervised release.

3 Do you understand that?

THÉ DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that as a result of your guilty plea you may lose certain valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of

11 firearm?

4

5

7

8

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

25

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, have you talked to Mr. Sorkin about the federal sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the guidelines are now advisory only and that they are no longer mandatory?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Nonetheless, before I can sentence you I still have to determine what your sentencing range is under the guidelines. I can't do that until after the probation

department prepares a presentence report and you, your lawyer, and the government have had a chance to review the report and

24 to make any objections.

Do you understand that? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And even after I decide what your guideline range is, I still have the authority in appropriate circumstances to impose a sentence that is above or below the guideline range.

Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been abolished in the federal system and, thus, you would not be released from prison any earlier on parole?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorneys or anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence will be that the prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15 16 THE COURT: And that is because no one, not your attorney, not the government, can or should make any promises 17 18 to you as to what your sentence will be as your sentence cannot 19 be decided until after the presentence report is completed, I 20 have ruled on any objections, and I have decided whether there 21 is any basis to go above or below the guideline range.

22 Do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Finally, do you understand that even if 25 your sentence turns out to be different from what your attorney SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1 or anyone else has told you it might be, or even if your sentence turns out to be different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty 7 you may be giving up or waiving certain aspects of your right 8 to appeal? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: The government provided your lawyers with a letter, dated March 10, 2009, which we call a Pimentel 11 12 letter? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Did you review that with your lawyers? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 16 THE COURT: And that Pimentel letter explains that your guideline sentence is 150 years. 17 18 Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 20 THE COURT: That's the government's calculation. 21 That's the government's position and you and your lawyers will 22 have the opportunity to comment on that. 23 Do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And do you understand also that this 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1 calculation that's set forth in the government's letter is not binding on the Court? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Has anyone offered you any inducements or 5 threatened you or forced you to plead guilty? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, do you know of any valid 8 defense that would prevail at trial, or do you know any reason 9 why your client should not be permitted to plead guilty? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I do not, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, tell me what you did. 12 MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, may I make one, 13 respectfully -- according to the Pimentel letter, we agree that 14 while the maximum statutory penalty in terms of imprisonment is 15 150 years, the guideline range -- and this can be found on page 6 of the Pimentel letter -- is life imprisonment. The criminal history category I yields a sentencing range of life 17 18 imprisonment. 19 THE COURT: I understand. But the government goes on 20 further to take the position that when a count does not permit 21 life, then you look at the statutory maximum. That's the 22 government's position. 23 MR. SORKIN: I just want to make sure Mr. Madoff 24 understood that. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, you understand that? 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

1

2

3

5

8

10

13

14

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Technically, the guideline range is life, but none of the counts in question carries a sentence that can go up to life. The top is 20 years. According to the government, in that circumstance then the guideline range is the maximum and the government's position is that the guideline range is 150 years. Again, I don't know whether Mr. Sorkin agrees or disagrees, but we will deal with that before sentencing.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, would you tell me what you 12 did, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

Your Honor, for many years up until my arrest on

December 11, 2008, I operated a Ponzi scheme through the investment advisory side of my business, Bernard L. Madoff

17 Securities LLC, which was located here in Manhattan, New York,

18 at 885 Third Avenue. I am actually grateful for this

19 opportunity to publicly speak about my crimes, for which I am

20 so deeply sorry and ashamed. As I engaged in my fraud, I knew

21 what I was doing wrong, indeed criminal. When I began the

22 Ponzi scheme I believed it would end shortly and I would be

23 able to extricate myself and my clients from the scheme.

24 However, this proved difficult, and ultimately impossible, and

25 as the years went by I realized that my arrest and this day SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would inevitably come. I am painfully aware that I have deeply hurt many, many people, including the members of my family, my closest friends, business associates, and the thousands of clients who gave me their money. I cannot adequately express how sorry I am for what I have done. I am here today to accept responsibility for my crimes by pleading guilty and, with this plea allocution, explain the means by which I carried out and concealed my fraud.

The essence of my scheme was that I represented to clients and prospective clients who wished to open investment advisory and individual trading accounts with me that I would invest their money in shares of common stock, options, and other securities of large well-known corporations, and upon request, would return to them their profits and principal. Those representations were false for many years. Up until I was arrested on December 11, 2008, I never invested these funds in the securities, as I had promised. Instead, those funds were deposited in a bank account at Chase Manhattan Bank. When clients wished to receive the profits they believed they had earned with me or to redeem their principal, I used the money in the Chase Manhattan bank account that belonged to them or other clients to pay the requested funds. The victims of my scheme included individuals, charitable organizations, trusts. pension funds, and hedge funds. Among other means, I obtained their funds through interstate wire transfers they sent from

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

25

financial institutions located outside New York State to the
 bank account of my investment advisory business, located in
 Manhattan, New York, and through mailings delivered by the
 United States Postal Service and private interstate carriers to
 my firm here in Manhattan.

I want to emphasize today that while my investment advisory business, the vehicle of my wrongdoing, was part of my firm, Bernard L. Madoff Securities, the other businesses my firm engaged in, proprietary trading and market making, were legitimate, profitable, and successful in all respects. Those businesses were managed by my brother and two sons.

To the best of my recollection, my fraud began in the early 1990s. At that time, the country was in a recession and this posed a problem for investments in the securities markets.

15 Nevertheless, I had received investment commitments from

16 certain institutional clients and understood that those

17 clients, like all professional investors, expected to see their

18 investments out-perform the market. While I never promised a

19 specific rate of return to my client, I felt compelled to

20 satisfy my clients' expectations, at any cost. I therefore

21 claimed that I employed an investment strategy I had developed,

22 called the split strike conversion strategy, to falsely give

23 the appearance to clients that I had achieved the results I

24 believed they expected.

Through the split strike conversion strategy I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

- 1 promised to clients and prospective clients that client funds
- 2 would be invested in a basket of common stocks within the
- 3 Standard & Poors 100 index, a collection of the 100 largest
- 4 publicly-traded companies in terms of their market
- 5 capitalization. I promised that I would select a basket of
- 6 stocks that would closely mimic the price movements of the
- 7 Standard & Poors 100 index. I promised that I would
- 8 opportunistically time those purchases and would be out of the
- 9 market intermittently, investing client funds during these
- 10 periods in United States Government-issued securities, such as
- 11 United States Treasury bills. In addition, I promised that as
- 12 part of the split strike conversion strategy, I would hedge the
- 13 investments I made in the basket of common stocks by using
- 14 client funds to buy and sell option contracts related to those
- 15 stocks, thereby limiting potential client losses caused by
- 16 unpredictable changes in stock prices. In fact, I never made
- 17 those investments I promised clients, who believed they were
- 18 invested with me in the split strike conversion strategy.

19 To conceal my fraud, I misrepresented to clients,

- 20 employees, and others that I purchased securities for clients
- 21 in overseas markets. Indeed, when the United States Securities
- 22 and Exchange Commission asked me to testify as part of an
- 23 investigation they were conducting about my investment advisory
- 24 business, I knowingly gave false testimony under oath to the
- 25 staff of the SEC on May 19, 2006 that I executed trades of

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

5

7

8

23

24

25

common stock on behalf of my investment advisory clients and that I purchased and sold the equities that were part of my investment strategy in European markets. In that session with the SEC, which took place here in Manhattan, New York, I also knowingly gave false testimony under oath that I had executed options contracts on behalf of my investment advisory clients and that my firm had custody of the assets managed on behalf of my investment advisory clients.

9 To further cover up the fact that I had not executed 10 trades on behalf of my investment advisory clients, I knowingly 11 caused false trading confirmations and client account 12 statements that reflected the bogus transactions and positions to be created and sent to clients purportedly involved in the 13 14 split strike conversion strategy, as well as other individual 15 clients I defrauded who believed they had invested in securities through me. The clients receiving trade 17 confirmations and account statements had no way of knowing by 18 reviewing these documents that I had never engaged in 19 transactions represented on the statements and confirmations. 20 I knew those false statements and account statements would be 21 and were sent to clients through the U.S. Mails from my office 22

here in Manhattan.

Another way that I concealed my fraud was through the filing of false and misleading certified annual reports and financial statements -- excuse me. Another way that I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

concealed my fraud was through the filing of false and misleading certified audit reports and financial statements with the SEC. I knew that these audit reports and financial statements were false and that they would also be sent to clients. These reports, which were prepared here in the Southern District of New York, among other things, falsely reflected my firm's liabilities as a result of my intentional failure to purchase securities on behalf of my advisory clients.

Similarly, when I recently caused my firm in 2006 to register as an investment adviser with the SEC, I subsequently filed with the SEC a document called the form ADV uniform application for investment adviser registration. On this form I intentionally and falsely certified under penalty of perjury that Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities had custody of my advisory clients' securities. That was not true, and I knew it when I completed and filed the form with the SEC, which I did from my office on the 17th floor of 885 Third Avenue, here in Manhattan.

In more recent years, I used yet another method to conceal my fraud. I wired money between the United States and the United Kingdom to make it appear as though there were actual securities transactions executed on behalf of my investment advisory clients. Specifically, I had money transferred from the U.S. bank account of my investment SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

7

10

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1 advisory business to the London bank account of Madoff

2 Securities International Limited, a United Kingdom corporation

3 that was an affiliate of my business in New York. Madoff

Securities International Limited was principally engaged in

5 proprietary trading and was a legitimate, honestly run and

6 operated business. Nevertheless, to support my false statement

that I purchased and sold securities for my investment advisory

8 clients in European markets, I caused money from the bank

9 account of my fraudulent advisory business, located here in

Manhattan, to be wire transferred to the London bank account of

11 Madoff Securities International Limited.

There were also times in recent years when I had money, which had originated in the New York Chase Manhattan bank account of my investment advisory business, transferred from the London bank account of Madoff Securities International Limited to the Bank of New York operating bank account of my firm's legitimate proprietary and market making business. That Bank of New York account was located in New York. I did this as a way of ensuring that the expenses associated with the operation of the fraudulent investment advisory business would not be paid from the operations of the legitimate proprietary trading and market making businesses.

In connection with the purported trades, I caused the fraudulent investment advisory side of my business to charge the investment advisory clients four cents per share as a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

25

commission. At times in the last few years, these commissions were transferred from Chase Manhattan bank account of the fraudulent investment advisory side of my firm to the account at Bank of New York, which was the operating account for the 5 legitimate side of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, the 6 proprietary trading and market making side of my firm. I did 7 this to ensure that the expenses associated with the operation of my fraudulent investment advisory business would not be paid 8 9 from the operations of the legitimate proprietary trading and 10 market making business. It is my belief that the salaries and 11 bonuses of the personnel involved in the operation of the legitimate side of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities were 12 13 funded by the operations of the firm's successful proprietary

Your Honor, I hope I have conveyed with some particularity in my own words the crimes I committed and the means by which I committed them. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Madoff.

trading and market making businesses.

Mr. Sorkin, I don't think there was mention of an employee benefit plan.

21 MR. SORKIN: The pension fund was mentioned, your 22 Honor.

23 THE COURT: What page that? 24 MR. SORKIN: I think it's page 2

MR. SORKIN: I think it's page 2. If you look at the top, the victim -- I'm quoting -- the victims of my scheme SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1 included individuals, charitable organizations, trusts, pension funds, and hedge funds. 3 THE COURT: I see. And those pension funds include employee welfare 4 5 benefit plans? 6 MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. 7 Is that correct? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, you can be seated for a 9 10 moment. 11 Does the government believe that Mr. Madoff's 12 admissions cover the elements of the crimes of each count? 13 MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor. The government does not 14 entirely agree with all of the defendant's description of his 15 conduct. However, the government does believe that his 16 allocution does cover each of the elements of the charged 17 offenses. 18 THE COURT: Would you summarize what the government's 19 evidence would be if the defendant were to go to trial? 20 MR. LITT: Yes. 21 Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would 22 have proven through testimony and evidence beyond a reasonable 23 doubt all of the facts set forth in the criminal information. In summary, the government would have proven the 24 following: The defendant operated a massive Ponzi scheme 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CMMADP1

23

24 25

through his company, Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities,
beginning at least as early as the 1980s. Over the decades
working from his New York City office and elsewhere, Madoff
solicited and caused others to solicit prospective clients to
open accounts with his company. His clients included
individuals, charitable organizations, trusts, pension funds,
and hedge funds, among others, and those clients were also his
victims.

9 Madoff told those clients that he would invest their 10 funds in publicly-traded securities, options, and treasury 11 bills. In fact, over the life of his scheme Madoff did not buy 12 stocks or options as he had promised. Instead, Madoff used client funds to pay other clients who sought to redeem their 13 14 investments, and used so-called commission revenue generated by charging clients four cents per share for shares that he never, 15 16 in fact, purchased to generate revenue for his firm. At times, 17 his firm would have been unable to operate but for the cash 18 generated from this Ponzi scheme. Madoff repeatedly lied to 19 clients in person, on telephone calls, and through mailings, 20 including account statements and confirmations of purchases and 21 sales of securities that he mailed through the U.S. Postal 22 Service.

Some investors sent checks to Madoff through the mails, others wired money to Madoff, and many of those wires came from outside New York State into the Southern District of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

1 New York. Madoff also caused hundreds of millions of dollars

of client funds to be wired overseas to accounts in London.

Some of that money was sent back to his firm and used to pay

its expenses. Other money was sent back and forth between New

York and London to give the false impression that he was

actually buying and selling securities in European marketswhen, in fact, he was not.

Madoff also used some of the money funneled through London to support his lavish lifestyle. Madoff also used other means of deception to hide his scheme. He lied when he told clients that he was purchasing securities on their behalf.

He also lied to regulators, including the SEC. He filed false and fraudulent certified financial statements with the SEC that failed to disclose his fraud scheme, failed to disclose his liabilities to the victims of his Ponzi scheme, and contained false certifications that the audited statements had been prepared in accordance with generally-accepted auditing standards and principles.

Mr. Madoff lied in a form that he was required to file with the SEC as an investment adviser, claiming that his company had custody of client securities when, in fact, he had not purchased any securities for those clients.

He also lied at least seven separate times in an SEC deposition in 2006.

At the end, Madoff told his clients that he was SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CMMADP1 holding nearly \$65 billion in securities on behalf of those clients. In fact, he had only a small fraction of that amount. 4 (Continued on next page)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2 1 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Madoff, please stand. 2 When you did the things you told me you did in your 3 statement, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and 4 illegal? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count One of the 7 information, guilty or not guilty? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 9 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Two of the 10 information, guilty or not guilty? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Three, 12 13 guilty or not guilty? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 15 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Four, guilty 16 or not guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 17 18 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Five, guilty or not guilty? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 21 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Six, guilty 22 or not quilty? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 24 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Seven,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

25

guilty or not guilty?

93CGMADP2 1 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 2 THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Eight, guilty or 3 not guilty? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 5 THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Nine, guilty or 6 not guilty? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 8 THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Ten, guilty 9 or not guilty? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 11 THE COURT: And finally, how do you now plead to Count 12 Eleven, guilty or not guilty? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Did you do the things that you are charged 15 with doing in all 11 counts of the information? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And are you pleading guilty because you 18 are guilty? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 20 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of 21 your own free will? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 22 23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Madoff, you may be seated. 24 Based on what I have heard, I am inclined to accept 25 Mr. Madoff's guilty plea. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CGMADP2

1 As I stated the other day, the government received a 2 number of e-mails from victims objecting to any plea bargain or 3 any plea deal. As it is clear that there is no plea bargain or plea deal, there is no basis for these objections. At this 5 time, nonetheless, if there is any victim who signed our sign-in sheet who wishes to be heard on the guestion of whether 7 I should accept Mr. Madoff's guilty plea, you can have a chance 8 to speak now. We have a list. 9 Mr. Nierenberg, do you want to speak? 10 MR. NIERENBERG: Yes. 11 THE COURT: All right, sir. Come to the microphone. 12 And remember that today is not the sentencing. Victims will have a chance to speak at sentencing. Go ahead. 13 14 MR. NIERENBERG: I am one of the many victims of 15 Madoff's egregious crimes. I don't know whether you had a 16 chance to turn around and look at the victims --17 THE COURT: Mr. Nierenberg, Mr. Nierenberg --18 MR. NIERENBERG: I just wanted to --19 THE COURT: Remain at the podium, please. 20 MR. NIERENBERG: All right. I know that the 21 operation -- Madoff's operation was massive, that he didn't 22 commit these crimes alone, and I don't understand why conspiracy is not a part of one of his pleas. Just to produce 23 the reams of documents that were received and the elaborate 24 25 data that went into them must have required an army of people SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CGMADP2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

to produce. And we all know that Madoff wasn't around a lot at
 his operation. There were other people that were there who
 handled it when he was gone. I --

THE COURT: I gather your point is that I should reject the plea because the government has not charged conspiracy?

MR. NIERENBERG: No. The question is -- I'm not suggesting that you reject the plea. What I'm suggesting is that there's an additional crime that was committed that wasn't included in the plea that needs to be considered.

THE COURT: All right. What I want to hear from now are victims who object to my accepting the plea.

13 MR. NIERENBERG: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you object to my accepting the plea?

15 MR. NIERENBERG: No, I don't.

16 THE COURT: Well, thank you, then. You can have your 17 seat.

18 MR. NIERENBERG: Okay.

19 THE COURT: Mark Labianca? No.

Brian Felsen? Mr. Felsen, do you want to be heard?

21 MR. FELSEN: I would like to be heard, but I do not

22 object to the plea.

THE COURT: All right. If you want to be heard with respect to sentencing, we will make sure we have procedures to give victims an opportunity to be heard at sentencing.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CGMADP2 1 MR. FELSEN: Okay. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 Bennett Goldwait? I can't guite read the handwriting. MR. GOLDWORTH: Goldworth. No thank you. 4 5 THE COURT: Ronnie Sue and Dominic Ambrosino, do you 6 wish to be heard? 7 MS. AMBROSINO: Yes, I do. 8 THE COURT: All right. Come forward, please. And say 9 your name again when you get to the microphone. 10 MS. AMBROSINO: My name is Ronnie Sue Ambrosino, and I 11 would object to the plea -- I just need to find a spot. I have taken a lot of notes. Judge, I believe that you have the 12 opportunity today to find out information as to where the money 13 14 is and to find out who else may be involved in this crime. And if that plea is accepted without those two pieces of 15 information, then I do object. If you can ascertain that you can get those two pieces of information, I would love to see 17 18 this man, who admits that he lied under oath in May of 2006 and 19 sat here and took an oath today -- I would like to see him 20 guilty. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 MS. AMBROSINO: Thank you, sir. 23 THE COURT: Maureen Aebel? Go ahead. 24 MS. AEBEL: Judge Chin, I would like to present you 25 with a different scenario that our country could witness if you

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CGMADP2

1 reject Mr. Madoff's plea. If we go to trial, we will show our
2 people in this struggling country and the world, who looks to
3 us as the global moral leader, that we hold all people
4 accountable. If we go to trial, we can show all our world that
5 all crimes, all crimes, including crimes of greed, can be
6 dissected ruled upon, and punished. And we can demonstrate

dissected, ruled upon, and punished. And we can demonstrate that we are a country that can learn from our mistakes, and we will be then able to reexamine and improve the mechanisms that exist for our protection that have failed so completely. If we go to trial, we have more of a chance to comprehend the global scope of this horrendous crime. At trial we can hear and bear witness to the pain that Mr. Madoff has inflicted on the young,

the old, and the infirmed. No man, no matter who he knows or who he is able to influence, is above the law. Thank you, Judge Chin.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. That is it with respect to the victims who signed up on the acceptance of the plea. Does the government or the defense want to respond to anything? Does the government want to respond to anything?

MR. LITT: May I just have a moment?

21 THE COURT: Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. LITT: I think the only thing the government would say is that the government's investigation continues. It is continuing. A lot of resources and effort are being expended, both to find assets and to find anyone else who may be SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

41 93CGMADP2 responsible for this fraud. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Sorkin? 3 MR. SORKIN: Nothing at this time, your Honor. Thank 4 you. 5 THE COURT: First of all, I appreciate the comments 6 from the victims. With respect to Ms. Ambrosino's comments about where the money is, as the government has just said, it is continuing its investigation, and this guilty plea certainly 8 9 does not preclude the government from proceeding. 10 With respect to Ms. Aebel's comment about how a trial 11 would show the world that we hold all people accountable, I 12 believe that these proceedings will do the same thing. Mr. Madoff, please stand. I am accepting the plea. 13 14 Mr. Madoff, because you acknowledge that you are guilty as charged in Counts One through Eleven of the information, 15 16 because you know your rights and are waiving them, because your 17 plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by 18 an independent basis in fact for each of the elements of the 11 19 offenses. I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty on

Mr. Madoff, the probation department will prepare a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the probation department, and it is important that you give the probation officer truthful and accurate SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Counts One through Eleven of the information. You can be

20

21

22

23

24 25 seated.

93CGMADP2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

25

information, for the report is important in my decision as to
what your sentence will be. You and your attorney have a right
and will have an opportunity to review the report, to challenge
or comment upon it and to speak on your behalf before
sentencing.

Sentencing is set for June 16th at 1:30 p.m.

Turning to bail, is the government requesting that I remand Mr. Madoff pending sentencing?

MR. LITT: Yes. The government moves for remand at this time pursuant to 18 USC 3143, which puts the burden on the defendant to show by clear and convincing evidence that he can be trusted to appear for future court appearances.

The defendant has now pled guilty and been found guilty of 11 -- or does the Court wish to hear argument now or --

16 THE COURT: Well, let me ask Mr. Sorkin whether he opposes remand.

MR. SORKIN: We do, your Honor, and I'd like to be heard on that point.

20 THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Sorkin.

21 MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor. May I go to the 22 podium, your Honor?

23 THE COURT: Yes, wherever you would like.

24 MR. SORKIN: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor. Your

Honor, let me take just a little bit of while, because I want SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2

3

4

5

6

7 8

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

to review the history of the bail as it related to this case.

THE COURT: Yes. The government provided me with the transcripts and the letter briefs, and I've reviewed them too.

MR. SORKIN: I'm not going to go through every one of them, but I think it's important that I list the chronology and how we got to this point today.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine.

8 MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, this case started when 9 Mr. Madoff on December 10th confessed his wrongdoing to his two 10 sons, knowing full well that his two sons were going to turn 11 him in. He didn't run. He didn't attempt to flee at that

time. When he was arrested by the FBI the next morning, he confessed to the FBI.

He appeared on December 11th before Magistrate Judge Eaton, and a personal recognizance bond of ten million dollars was signed by Mr. Madoff and his wife. There were three additional cosigners that were required, and it was secured by Mr. Madoff's residence in Manhattan. Surrender of Mr. Madoff's travel documents took place, and his travel was restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of Connecticut.

The Pretrial Services at the time, your Honor, did not recommend in its initial recommendation that Mr. Madoff be remanded, and I add additionally that the government had no difference and no objection with any of the conditions that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2

were imposed on December 11th. That was before Magistrate Judge Eaton.

On December 17th, your Honor, before another magistrate judge, Magistrate Judge Gorenstein, Mr. Madoff -- and it was ratcheted up -- was placed on home detention in his apartment with electronic ankle bracelet monitoring. He was permitted to travel only to his attorney's offices and to the court. A curfew of 7:00 p.m. through 9:00 a.m. was imposed, and this was done in addition to the entry of confession of judgments with respect to his wife's properties on Montauk, New York, and Palm Beach, Florida, a surrender of Mrs. Madoff's passport and a reduction of the number of cosigners on the bond from four to two. This, too, your Honor, was consented to by the government. Indeed, I believe it was done by stipulation without argument before Magistrate Judge Gorenstein.

On December 19th, again, on consent of the government, a ten million dollar personal recognizance bond was signed by Mr. Madoff, his wife, and his brother, secured by confessions of judgment on his wife's properties in Montauk, in New York, and Palm Beach. The passports of both Mrs. Madoff had already been surrendered, and other than scheduled court appearances, Mr. Madoff was confined to his home 24 hours a day. He was no longer permitted to visit his counsel. And they had, in addition to the 24-hour-a-day confinement, an electronic monitoring device, which is still attached to his ankle.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2

At his wife's own expense --

THE COURT: Would the audience please remain quiet. Go ahead.

MR. SORKIN: Because Mr. Madoff's assets were all frozen, but his wife's were not, although she later voluntarily committed to a freeze of her assets under certain restrictions. So with the government's consent, Mrs. Madoff's own assets, which were not frozen by Judge Stanton or any judge in this court -- she agreed to pay a security firm acceptable to the government to provide the following services to prevent harm or flight.

And with these unfrozen assets, not objected to by the government, Mr. Madoff has round-the-clock monitoring at his building 24 hours a day, including video monitoring of all of his apartment, doors, communications devices, and services permitting security to send a direct signal from an observation post to the FBI in the event of even the suspicion of harm or flight. This is known as a panic button. There are additional guards available on request, if necessary, to prevent flight or harm, both inflicted by Mr. Madoff -- I'm dealing with the danger to the community issue -- and also harm to Mr. Madoff.

On January 12th, your Honor -- and again, this was by consent of the government. On January 12th, Magistrate Judge Ronald Ellis imposed additional restrictions. This was briefed, as your Honor well knows. It was argued by Magistrate SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2

- Judge Ellis. And on that date, Magistrate Judge Ellis
- incorporated the restrictions set forth in the order of Judge
- Stanton, who has jurisdiction over the SEC matter, including 3
- restrictions on the transfer of all property whatsoever
- 5 wherever located in the possession or under the control of
- Mr. Madoff. And that was part of the SEC consent under the TRO
- 7 and also the consent under the preliminary injunction, which
- Mr. Madoff consented to. Magistrate Judge Ellis incorporated 8
- 9 these restrictions to a voluntary restraint agreement, which
- 10 the government agreed to, involving Mrs. Madoff's assets and
- 11 restricted the transfer of all assets owned by her voluntarily, 12

your Honor.

15

25

13 Additionally, Magistrate Judge Ellis directed the 14 compilation of an inventory of all valuable portable items in

the Manhattan home, which is to be checked once every two weeks

16 by government-approved security, who are also required to

17 inspect all outgoing mail.

18 The government appealed Magistrate Judge Ellis' 19 ruling, and before District Judge Lawrence McKenna on January 20 16th, 2009, argument was held. The matter was briefed, and

21 Judge McKenna added additional conditions: One, a compilation

- 22 of any inventory of all valuable portable items in the homes in
- 23 Montauk, Palm Beach, as well as any property owned by
- Mrs. Madoff in a small residence in France. 24

I quote, which your Honor, I'm sure, has read, from SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2

5

6

7

8

25

Judge McKenna's statement in court after hearing argument and seeing papers, that, quote -- and this is from Judge McKenna -- I think the chances of Mr. Madoff fleeing at this point are as close to nil as you can get in any bail package, period, unquote.

Now, nothing has changed, your Honor, and I agree it has changed substantially in terms of the plea. And I agree with Mr. Litt that the burden is upon us to show by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Madoff is neither a flight risk nor a risk to the community.

10 11 As far as we are aware, your Honor, Pretrial Services 12 has not found that Mr. Madoff has been negligent or careless in complying with all of the bail conditions. There has been no 13 14 incident at all, as far as we are aware, that has been conveyed to us by Pretrial or the government that Mr. Madoff has 15 16 attempted at any time to flee or certainly, which the government conceded before Magistrate Judge Ellis and Judge 17 18 McKenna, posed any risk of harm. The argument before Judge 19 Ellis and Judge McKenna was the risk of harm was in the 20 financial world, that he would dissipate assets. That was 21 taken care of, your Honor, respectfully, by Magistrate Judge 22 Ellis and by Judge McKenna. All mail going out, all packages 23 going out are inspected by the security firm approved by the 24 government.

I respectfully submit, your Honor, that the change has SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

93CGMADP2

19

- been the media attention and the increased and, in many cases,
- justifiable anger by people who claim they lost money, but the
- Bail Reform Act doesn't deal with those two issues. I do not 3
- believe, your Honor, that the precedent set in this court where
- such individuals as Rigas in the Adelphia case, Ebbers in the 5
- 6 WorldCom case, Messrs. Skilling and Lay -- Mr. Lay passed away
- 7 before sentencing -- all of whom were facing substantial years
- in prison, Rigas and Ebbers in this court, Mr. Skilling in 8
- 9 Texas. All were released on bail pending sentence. All went
- 10 to trial but did not plead guilty, and all, your Honor, as far
- 11 as I am aware, never once confessed at the get-go to the 12 wrongdoing that you heard Mr. Madoff confess to today.

So I would respectfully submit, your Honor, that there 13 14 is no chance that Mr. Madoff will certainly be a risk to the community, a danger to the community. And his risk of 15 16 flight -- and I agree with Judge McKenna -- is virtually nil 17 with all of the restrictions that have been imposed on him. So

18 I respectfully request that his bail be continued.

I would also add, your Honor -- again, I refer to the Bail Reform Act as not being relevant on those two other

20 21 issues. What is also relevant, your Honor, is that Mr. Madoff

22 is going to have the opportunity. I am sure, if the government

and the defense can come to some agreement, to review literally 23 24

thousands of thousands of documents which the trustee and the 25 government have been reviewing to discover where this

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

93CGMADP2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

forfeiture number comes from. And we've been able to
 communicate with him in his apartment, and I think that is a
 factor that your Honor should consider, even though that is not
 my argument with respect to the Bail Reform Act. I think we
 have met all the conditions under the act.

So by clear and convincing evidence, I don't think he is a risk of either danger to the community, flight, and I would respectfully request that his bail be continued. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't need to hear from the government. It is my intention to remand Mr. Madoff.

Please, ladies and gentlemen, please.

Now, I have a number of people who signed in who wanted to be heard on the issue of bail, and I think you should only be heard if you object to remand.

16 Adriane Biondo? Mr. Ross? Helen Chaitman?

MS. CHAITMAN: No objection.
THE COURT: Donald Schupak?
MR. SCHUPAK: I do not object.
THE COURT: Mark Labianca?
MR. LABIANCA: I do not object.
THE COURT: Sharon Lissauer?

As Mr. Madoff has pled guilty, he is no longer entitled to the presumption of innocence. The exposure

entitled to the presumption of innocence. The exposure is
 great, 150 years in prison. In light of Mr. Madoff's age, he
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	93CGMADP2
1	has an incentive to flee, he has the means to flee, and thus,
2	he presents a risk of flight. Bail is revoked, and the
3	defendant is remanded.
4	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, would your Honor consider,
5	respectfully, a stay so that we might appeal your Honor's bail
6	decision? We intend to do it expeditiously.
7	THE COURT: The request for a stay is denied.
8	MR. SORKIN: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Sentencing, as I said, is set for June
10	16th, 1:30 p.m. Some of the victims may wonder why do we need
11	so much time. Well, the probation department has to prepare a
12	presentence report. By law, the defendant is entitled to 35
13	days to review the presentence report before sentencing. We
14	also have to give the parties an opportunity to submit written
15	materials.
16	Mr. Madoff, I will see you at sentencing. We are
17	adjourned.
18	000
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25